Throughout our course, every ring is commutative and contains 1. Throughout this worksheet, R is a ring, and I is an ideal of R.

## 1. Warm-up.

- (a) Recall that the *ideal generated by a subset* A *of* R is the set of all R-linear combinations of elements in A. Verify that it is indeed an ideal, and is in fact the smallest ideal containing A.
- (b) Given subsets A and B of R, let I be the ideal generated by A and J be the ideal generated by B. Describe, if possible, a generating set for each of the following the ideals in terms of A and B: I + J, IJ, and I ∩ J.
- (c) A subset W of R is called *multiplicatively closed* if it contains 1 (the "empty product") and is closed under products. Give examples of multiplicatively closed subsets of an arbitrary ring R.
- (d) Prove that  $\mathcal{W} = R \setminus I$  is multiplicatively closed if and only if I is a prime ideal.
- (e) Given  $x \in R$ , the *colon ideal* with respect to x and I is  $(I : x) = \{y \in R \mid xy \in I\}$ . Verify that this is an ideal of R, and contains I.
- 2. Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be a multiplicatively closed subset of R, and suppose that I is an ideal maximal with respect to the condition that it is disjoint from  $\mathcal{W}$ . Prove that I is prime.

*Hint*: Suppose otherwise, so that xy is in I for some  $x, y \in R$  not contained in I. Use each of x and y to construct an ideal containing I, and then apply the maximality of I.

3. The previous problem establishes a partial converse to one in the Warm-up, provided that there exists an ideal I maximal with respect to being disjoint from W. When does such an ideal exist?

Hint: Recall Zorn's Lemma.

4. A multiplicatively closed subset  $\mathcal{W}$  of a ring R is *saturated* if given  $x, y \in R, xy \in \mathcal{W} \iff x \in \mathcal{W}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{W}$ . Prove the following theorem:

**Theorem**: Consider a subset W of a ring R. Then W is multiplicatively closed and saturated if and only if  $R \setminus W$  is a union of prime ideals of R.

*Hint*: For the forward implication, if  $\mathcal{W} \subsetneq R$ , take  $x \in R \setminus \mathcal{W}$  and compute  $\langle x \rangle \cap \mathcal{W}$ .

- 5. For a fixed ideal *I*, consider ideals *J* of the form J = (I : x) for some  $x \in R \setminus I$ . Prove that if there exists a maximal element of this form, then it is a prime ideal. Note: This result is related to the existence of *associated primes* of an ideal, which we will study later in the semester.
- 6. Recall that an ideal of R is called *finitely generated* if it is the ideal generated by some finite subset of R. Our goal in this problem is to prove the following:

**Proposition**: If I is maximal amongst all proper ideals that are *not* finitely generated, then I is prime.

- (a) Assume that I is not prime, and fix  $x, y \in R$  such that  $xy \in I$  but neither x nor y is in I. Explain why there is a generating set for  $\langle x \rangle + I$  of the form  $i_1 + r_1 x, \ldots, i_n + r_n x$  for some positive integer n and elements  $i_t \in I$ .
- (b) Explain why the ideal J = (I : x) is finitely generated.
- (c) Conclude that I is finitely generated by showing that  $I = \langle i_1, \ldots, i_n \rangle + J \langle x \rangle$ .
- (d) Complete the proof of the proposition.