## Worksheet 17: Dimension Theory for Finitely Generated k-algebras

Throughout, R and S are a commutative rings with unity, and k denotes a field.

**Definition.** A saturated chain of prime ideals of R of length d is a chain  $P_0 \subsetneq P_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq P_d$  of primes of R such that for each i, there is no  $Q \in \text{Spec}(R)$  satisfying  $P_i \subsetneq Q \subsetneq P_{i+1}$ .

- 1. Warm-up: Equivalent formulations of Krull dimension. Recall that the Krull dimension of a ring R is the supremum over all n such that there exists an arbitrary chain in Spec(R) of length n.
  - (a) (Krull dimension via saturated chains) Briefly explain why  $\dim R$  equals the supremum of all n for which there exists a *saturated* chain of prime ideals of R of length n.
  - (b) (Krull dimension of a domain) Briefly explain why if R is a domain, then dim R equals the supremum of all n for which there exists a saturated chain of primes starting at the zero ideal 0.
  - (c) (Krull dimension and height) Formulate a description of the height of a prime ideal P of R in terms of saturated chains. In addition, describe dim R in terms of the heights of all maximal ideals of R. What does your description tell us about the Krull dimension of a local ring?

**Theorem.** If R is a UFD, then every  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$  with height one is a principal ideal.

2. Height one primes in a UFD. Prove the above theorem.

*Hint*: Why must *P* be nonzero? Also, recall that every irreducible element in a UFD is prime.

**Theorem.** The Krull dimension of  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  is n.

## 3. The dimension of a polymomial ring over a field.

- (a) Recall that in a previous worksheet, you showed that  $\dim k[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \ge n$  by explicitly constructing a chain of primes of length n, and notice that equality holds when n = 0.
- (b) We will prove the statement by induction on  $n \ge 0$ , and we checked the base case in (a). Consider our inductive hypothesis: Suppose n > 0, and assume the theorem is true for all polynomial rings over k in n 1 variables.
- (c) Consider a saturated chain of prime ideals  $0 = P_0 \subsetneq P_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq P_m$  in  $R = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ . Explain why it suffices to show that  $m \le n$  to complete the proof.
- (d) Using the fact that the chain we fixed is saturated, explain why  $P_1$  must have height one. Conclude that  $P_1$  must be principal.
- (e) Explain why, after changing variables, we may assume that  $P_1$  is generated by a polynomial that is monic as a polynomial in  $x_n$  with coefficients in  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$ . *Hint*: Apply a lemma from an earlier worksheet.
- (f) Explain why  $R/P_1$  is integral over a polynomial ring over k in n-1 variables, and conclude that dim  $R/P_1$  equals n-1. What does this tell us about m? Conclude the proof.

**Theorem.** If R is a finitely generated k-algebra that is also a domain, then the Krull dimension of R equals the transcendence degree of the field extension of k into Frac(R), the fraction field of R.

Given a field extension  $k \subseteq \mathbb{L}$ , recall that a *transcendence basis* for  $\mathbb{L}$  over k is a subset  $\Lambda$  of  $\mathbb{L}$  with the property that every finite subset of  $\Lambda$  is algebraically independent over k, which is maximal in the sense that no subset of  $\mathbb{L}$  properly containing  $\Lambda$  satisfies this property. Transcendence bases always exist by Zorn's Lemma, and though it is a bit harder to show, all transcendence bases must all have the same cardinality, which is called the *transcendence degree* of the extension of  $k \subseteq \mathbb{L}$ .

## 4. Proof of theorem on Krull dimensions via field extensions.

- (a) Prove that if  $R \hookrightarrow S$  is an integral extension of domains, then the induced map on fraction fields  $\operatorname{Frac}(R) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Frac}(S)$  is an algebraic field extension.
- (b) Let k → L be an extension of fields. Prove that a subset Λ of L is a transcendence basis for this extension if and only if every finite subset of Λ is algebraically independent over k, and the extension k(Λ) → L is an algebraic field extension.
- (c) Prove the above theorem. *Hint*: Apply Noether Normalization.

**Theorem.** If R is a finitely generated k-algebra that is also a domain, then every saturated chain of prime ideals of R starting at 0 and terminating at a maximal ideal have the same length, namely, the Krull dimension of R. In particular, the height of every maximal ideal of R equals dim R.

- 5. **Proof of theorem on heights of maximal ideals.** We proceed by induction on dim R.
  - (a) Verify the base case. *Hint*: The assumption that R is a domain is relevant.
  - (b) Consider the following inductive hypothesis: Given n > 0, suppose that the theorem is true for all finitely-generated k-algebras that are domains and have dimension n − 1. Let R be such a ring, but of dimension n, and consider a saturated chain of primes 0 ⊊ Q<sub>1</sub> ⊊ · · · ⊊ Q<sub>ℓ</sub> with Q<sub>ℓ</sub> a maximal ideal. To conclude our induction step, we must show that ℓ = n.
    - i. Explain why  $Q_1$  must have height one.
    - ii. Let  $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_d] \subseteq R$  be a Noether Normalization. Explain why the height of  $P_1 := Q_1 \cap A$  is also one. *Hint*: Recall the Height Corollary from Going Down.
    - iii. Explain why, after a change of variables, we can assume that  $P_1$  is generated by a polynomial that is monic in  $x_n$  with coefficients in  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$ . Conclude that  $A/P_1$  is module finite over a polynomial ring in n 1 variables.
    - iv. Explain why there is an induced inclusion  $A/P_1 \hookrightarrow R/Q_1$  which is also module finite. Conclude that  $\dim(R/Q_1) = \dim(A/P_1) = n 1$ .
    - v. Why does the inductive hypothesis apply to  $R/Q_1$ ? What does this tells us about  $\ell$ ?
  - (c) Complete the proof of the theorem.
- 6. Consider the ring  $R = k[x, y, z]/\langle xy, xz \rangle$ .
  - (a) Explain why every prime ideal of R either contains  $\overline{x}$ , or contains both  $\overline{y}$  and  $\overline{z}$ .
  - (b) Find two maximal ideals of R that have different heights.
  - (c) Why does this not contradict the last statement of the previous theorem? What does this say about which of its hypotheses cannot be relaxed?
- 7. Let  $A = \mathbb{Z}_P$ , the localization of  $\mathbb{Z}$  at the prime ideal  $P = \langle 3 \rangle$ , and set R = A[t]. You may use, without proof, the fact that R is a UFD.
  - (a) Notice that A is *not* the ring obtained from  $\mathbb{Z}$  by adding an inverse for 3. Describe A concretely a subset of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
  - (b) Verify that  $\langle 3t 1 \rangle$  is a maximal ideal of R of height one. *Hint*: Doesn't  $R/\langle 3t - 1 \rangle = A[t]/\langle 3t - 1 \rangle$  look like a localization of A?
  - (c) Verify that  $\langle 3, t \rangle$  is a maximal ideal of R of height at least two.
  - (d) Why does this not contradict the last statement of the previous theorem? What does this say about which of its hypotheses cannot be relaxed?